	Application I	No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/028,624	10/028,624 KANEDA, YUTA		\		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Jeremy C. No		2827			
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the co	ver sheet with the cor	rrespondence add	iress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statent or seply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, inication.) days, a reply within the statutory cutory period will apply and will exviii. by statute, cause the application	however, may a reply be timely y minimum of thirty (30) days w pire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ion to become ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely. e mailing date of this col (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.		
Status 1) M. Rosponsiyo to communication(s) files	t on 20 Docambar 200:	1				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	o)⊠ This action is non-					
, 			anting on to the			
 Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic 	e under <i>Ex parte Quayi</i>	le, 1935 C.D. 11, 453	O.G. 213.	ments is		
Disposition of Claims			H			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the a	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-10 is/are	withdrawn from conside	" Tation Company	叫嘴哥	72E		
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			S.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirements.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requ			<u> </u>		
Application Papers			2800	•		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the		· ·				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December</u>	<u>_2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce	pted or b) objected	d to by the Exami	ner.		
Applicant may not request that any object						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office A	action or form P10	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1	TO-948) 5)	Interview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pate Other:				

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a printed circuit board, classified in class 174, subclass 254.
- II. Claims 3-6, drawn to a mask for making a printed circuit board, classified in class 428, subclass 901.
- III. Claims 7-10, drawn to a method of making a printed circuit board, classified in class 261, subclass 48.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the device of the invention of group I could be formed by a screen printing apparatus as opposed to the photoetching apparatus claimed in the invention of group II.

Inventions III and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Art Unit: 2827

806.05(e)). In this case the process of the invention of group II could be practiced by hand.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device of the invention of group I could be formed by a screen printing process as opposed to the photoetching process claimed in the invention of group III.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Ms. Macy Golson on 20 January 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group 1, claims 1 and 2. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3-10 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 2827

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Art Unit: 2827

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the phrase "the present invention provides". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,320,135 (hereafter Saito).

Saito discloses, referring to figure 9, a stock sheet for a flexible wiring board comprising a flexible sheet-like substrate (101, see figure 1), and a plurality of wiring patterns (112, 115) arranged in a predetermined direction on the substrate and corresponding to patterns on individual layers of wiring boards of a multilayer flexible wiring board [claim 1], wherein each wiring pattern is arranged in a direction perpendicular to a transporting direction of the substrate [claim 2].

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2827

US 6,195,881

Giardina et al.,

US 6,200,824

Hashimoto.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday, 10am - 7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-0725.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JCSN

CARL WAITEHEAD, JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/028,624 Examiner Jeremy C. Norris Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination KANEDA, YUTAKA Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,195,881	03-2001	Giardina et al.	29/846
	В	US-6,200,824	03-2001	Hashimoto, Nobuaki	438/15
	С	US-6,320,135	11-2001	Saito, Hirokazu	174/250
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	к	US-			
	Ł	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р		-			
	a					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.